Message

From: HODGE, DON [Hodge.Don@epa.gov]

Sent: 1/9/2015 5:24:20 PM

To: Li, Corine [Li.Corine@epa.gov]

Subject: arsenic analysis -- FW: agenda items, program review scoping, and training funding -- RE: DRAFT agenda for monthly

CA meeting

Attachments: Arsenic Analysis_PWSs with MCL Violations_2015 Jan.xlsx

Regarding the Tuesday agenda item on arsenic, attached is a partial analysis of data on PWSs with arsenic violations, for the region and for each agency. It shows decreasing trends for the Region, Tribal DI, AZ, and NV, and more recently for CA. I plan to graph this by PWS population category and by population potentially affected later today, following the format of a report David did in 2011. Please let me know if this is not addressing your need.

From: HODGE, DON

Sent: Friday, January 09, 2015 9:13 AM

To: Li, Corine

Subject: agenda items, program review scoping, and training funding -- RE: DRAFT agenda for monthly CA meeting

Hi, Corine,

I spoke to Jim Stites about the data management segment of the monthly meeting and about scoping the CA program review.

Two topics for the Tuesday meeting are:

--locational data: EPA needs to emphasize that this data collection/data quality issue is a priority for EPA and needs to be a priority at the State

--data cleanup: the transition to SDWIS/State has created a need to clean up SDWIS data. This was expected and the process is underway. It will generate more requests from the SDWIS Unit for data corrections. Again, it would help for us to emphasize that this should be a priority at the State

It would be great if you wanted to take the lead on these topics. I can get you more specifics on the timeframe HQ has set for the locational data cleanup effort before the call.

For scoping the program review, Jim thinks it would be good to focus on newer rules, especially the Groundwater Rule. The GWR is designed to build on earlier rules, but because CA has been (and still is) doing compliance determination for TCR etc. outside of SDWIS, GWR violations require districts to enter supporting data that isn't yet in SDWIS, making for an inefficient process. The results of a program review could help make the case for applying more resources to rolling out and training on compliance determination in SDWIS and providing the necessary resources to data management.

That raises the issue of SDWIS training for CA, not for the Monday PO meeting or the Tuesday CA meeting, but going forward: the current SDWIS support contract is at ceiling but a follow-on contract should be available in a few months, and it would be good to put some of the CA grant money for "in-kind" data management support, as I understand has been the practice in past years.

From: Li, Corine

Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2015 11:44 PM

To: Licata, Juanita; Eberhardt, Doug; AMARIS, JOSH; Garcia-Bakarich, Luis; Chen, Christopher; HODGE, DON

Subject: DRAFT agenda for monthly CA meeting

Attached is a draft agenda for our Tuesday, January 14, 2015 meeting with State Board. Please review and provide input. Would like to send out by noon on Friday.

Corine Li, P.E., Manager

Drinking Water Office US EPA, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

<u>li.corine@epa.gov</u> (415) 972-3560